



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,269	10/28/2003	Janne Kesala	SEPP14.001C1	4712
20995	7590	12/06/2006	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			BUEKER, RICHARD R	
2040 MAIN STREET			ART UNIT	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92614			1763	

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

10/695269

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

20061128

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

*Richard Bueker*

Richard Bueker  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 1763

The reply filed on Sept. 25, 2006 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicant's response did not include any discussion of the rejection of claims 37 and 40-44 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomosawa taken in view of Horsky, Kikuchi or Howson. Independent claim 37 was included in this rejection, and this rejection is a separate rejection from the rejection of claims 37, 40, 41, 43 and 44 over Tomosawa alone. Therefore, this rejection must be discussed separately.

Also, Applicant's response did not include any discussion of the rejection of claims 37-39 and 41-45 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baxter taken in view of Witzman, Smith and Guellich. Independent claim 37 was included in this rejection, and this rejection is a separate rejection from the rejection of claims 37, 38, 41, 43 and 44 over Baxter alone. Therefore, this rejection must be discussed separately.

See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).